Comparative Study of the Oxidation of NiAl(100) by Molecular Oxygen and Water Vapor Using Ambient-Pressure X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy
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ABSTRACT: The oxidation behavior of NiAl(100) by molecular oxygen and water vapor under a near-ambient pressure of 0.2 Torr is monitored using ambient-pressure X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. O₂ exposure leads to the selective oxidation of Al at temperatures ranging from 40 to 500 °C. By contrast, H₂O exposure results in the selective oxidation of Al at 40 and 200 °C, and increasing the oxidation temperature above 300 °C leads to simultaneous formation of both Al and Ni oxides. These results demonstrate that the O₂ oxidation forms a nearly stoichiometric Al₂O₃ structure that provides improved protection to the metallic substrate by barring the outward diffusion of metals. By contrast, the H₂O oxidation results in the formation of a defective oxide layer that allows outward diffusion of Ni at elevated temperatures for simultaneous NiO formation.

1. INTRODUCTION

NiAl is a technologically important intermetallic material possessing a high melting point, low density, good oxidation/corrosion resistance, and metal-like electrical and thermal conductivities. Thin aluminum oxide films grown by selective oxidation of Al in the NiAl alloy are widely used as one of the most important supports for dispersed metal catalysts.†‡§ Because of this important industrial application and also the fundamental importance in understanding the degradation mechanism of intermetallic alloys, the initial-stage oxidation of single-crystal NiAl(100) has been extensively studied to address the atomistic mechanism of the formation of ultrathin aluminum oxide films using a wide range of surface science tools including low-energy electron diffraction (LEED),⁶⁻¹¹ X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS),¹¹⁻¹³ electron energy loss spectroscopy,⁶⁻⁷ scanning tunneling microscopy (STM),⁶⁻¹¹⁺¹⁴⁻¹⁵ and low-energy electron microscopy (LEEM).⁶⁻¹⁶ These surface science studies of the oxidation of NiAl are performed mostly under ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) conditions by carefully dosing small amounts of oxygen gas. Although the surface science approach has been very successful in many cases as shown in the aforementioned studies, the pressure gap between the surface science experiments and the technologically relevant oxidation processes that often take place within high-temperature and high-pressure regimes prevents the direct extrapolation of the knowledge obtained from the idealized condition. This is because the structure and composition of the surface can drastically change when going from UHV to realistic situations. Therefore, the effects of temperature and pressure must be properly accounted for to obtain a reliable description of the surface structure/chemistry and transformations under the technologically relevant conditions.

Recent advances in instrumentation have made it possible to investigate gas–surface reactions under realistic pressures with in situ measurements. Particularly, XPS has evolved dramatically in recent years with the implementation of differential pumping schemes that allow for the investigation of surface reactions on the atomic scale at a gas pressure of up to ~1 Torr.¹⁷⁻²¹ Because the formation of an oxide layer on the metal surface is expected to dramatically change its chemical properties, using these in situ techniques for oxidation studies will provide unique opportunities to establish the principles of controlling the atomic processes of surface oxidation via manipulating the thermodynamic and kinetic variables of the reaction including temperature, oxygen pressure, surface orientation and morphology, and alloying.

Under ambient conditions, the reaction of NiAl with oxygen or an oxygen-containing atmosphere such as water vapor results in selective oxidation of Al. The reaction proceeds with the initially rapid growth of a thin layer of aluminum oxide followed by an abrupt reduction in the oxidation rate, thereby reaching a limiting thickness of the oxide film. Compared with the extensive study on the oxidation of a clean metal surface, the lack of a fundamental understanding of the effect of such a passivation oxide layer on the subsequent oxidation at elevated temperatures has not been addressed. In this work, we report an in situ study of the oxidation of NiAl(100) in a more practical oxidation condition, namely an ambient gas pressure, using ambient pressure X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (AP-XPS). We perform a comparative study of the oxidation of NiAl(100) with molecular oxygen and water vapor at the near-
ambient pressure of 0.2 Torr, with a stepwise increase in temperature from 40 to 500 °C. With the temperature increase in such a stepwise manner, our experiments here allow for examining the oxidation behavior of a surface covered with a pre-existing oxide film that forms at a lower temperature and resembles the presence of a native oxide layer. The use of molecular oxygen and water vapor as the oxidizing species allows for elucidating how the growth and properties of the oxide film depend on the oxidizing atmosphere, which has been rarely addressed at the atomic scale under such a high pressure.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

AP-XPS experiments were performed in an AP-XPS chamber equipped with a SPECS Phoibos 150 analyzer and an Ar-ion-sputtering gun at beamline X1A1 at the National Synchrotron Light Source (NSLS), Brookhaven National Laboratory. The AP-XPS system is equipped with several differential pumping stages between the reaction chamber and the hemispherical analyzer, which results in different gas pressures in these two chambers, that is, up to several Torr in the reaction chamber but remaining under ultrahigh vacuum conditions (lower than 10−7 Torr) in the analyzer. Photoemitted electrons leave the high-pressure chamber through a small aperture into the multistage differentially pumped electron energy analyzer that allows for continuous collection of XPS signals from the sample enclosed in the elevated pressure volume. The XPS spectra of the gas phases (O2 and H2O) can be observed at pressures >0.1 Torr. The photon energy range of the beamline was from ∼200 to ∼850 eV, which covers the O 1s, C 1s, Al 2p, and Ni 3p core levels. An Au foil in the XPS chamber was used to calibrate the real incident photon energy for acquiring XPS data. In the O2 oxidation experiment, the real incident photon energy was 707 eV. The H2O oxidation experiment was performed a few months later, and the real incident photon energy was 683 eV because of the change in the positions of the motors that drove the monochromator. Spectra of Ni 3p, Al 2p, and O 1s were acquired in situ during the oxidation.

The NiAl(100) single crystal purchased from Princeton Scientific Corp was a top-hat-shaped disc (1 mm in thickness and 8 mm in diameter) and cut to within 0.1° to the (100) crystallographic orientation and polished to a mirror finish with a purity of 99.99999%. The NiAl(100) crystal was cleaned by repeated cycles of Ar-ion sputtering (1 × 10−3 Torr, 1 keV) at room temperature and annealing at 750 °C for about 10 min, until no carbon was detected using XPS. However, a small amount of oxygen was still present as detected in O 1s XPS spectra and could not be completely removed, likely due to the relatively high base pressure of the XPS chamber (the presence of such residual oxygen had negligible impact on the oxidation experiments performed under near-ambient conditions). The sample temperature was measured using a K-type thermocouple. A separate system equipped with the capabilities for surface structure characterization, that is, LEED and STM, was used to check the surface quality of the NiAl(100) prepared using the same sputtering and annealing procedure as the AP-XPS experiments. The as-cleaned surface showed sharp diffraction spots of the (3√2 × 3√2, √2 × 3√2) R45° reconstruction1,2,5 and appeared as flat terraces separated by atomic height steps.

High-purity oxygen gas was directly introduced to the XPS chamber through a variable-pressure leak valve to oxidize the freshly cleaned NiAl(100) surface. Water (18.2 MΩ) was poured into a glass flask (Ace Glass) and further purified with several freeze–pump–thaw cycles before dosing through a separate variable-pressure leak valve. Ion gauges were used to measure the gas pressures. The effect of the ion gauge sensitivity correction was not accounted for during the pressure measurements because of the minor difference in the gas correction factors for O2 and H2O. No carbon contamination was detected using XPS from the O2 and H2O exposures. The freshly cleaned surface was exposed to O2 or H2O at the pressure of 0.2 Torr first at T = 40 °C, followed by the stepwise temperature increase to 200, 300, and 500 °C during the gas exposure. Under each condition, the surface was oxidized to a saturated thickness of the oxide film, unless noted otherwise. After the oxidation at 500 °C, the chamber was evacuated and the sample was annealed at 500 and 750 °C in vacuum to investigate the temperature effect on the atomic structure of the oxide film. The conditions for oxidation and subsequent vacuum annealing are detailed in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>O2 (min)</th>
<th>H2O (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 vacuum annealing</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750 vacuum annealing</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At each temperature during the gas exposure and annealing, the XPS spectra of O 1s, Ni 3p, and Al 2p were acquired at 10, 20, and 30 min or longer as required. Each spectrum was fitted with a Shirley background subtraction. The binding energy (BE) extracted was estimated to have an error of less than 0.05 eV. The thickness of the oxide film was calculated using the ratio of the total oxidic Al3+ 2p and metallic Al0 2p peak areas in each single XPS spectrum of the oxidized NiAl(100) surface, assuming a uniform oxide layer, and was estimated to have an error of less than 1–2 Å.2,23 The inelastic mean free path λ was estimated by the kinetic energy of Al 2p that depends on the incident photon energies. In the O2 oxidation experiments, λ ≈ 11.3 Å for the incident photon energy of 707 eV; in the H2O oxidation experiments, λ ≈ 10.8 Å for the incident photon energy of 683 eV. This indicates that the small difference in the incident photon energies (∼24 eV) used in acquiring the XPS data during the O2 and H2O oxidations has a negligible effect on the detectable oxide thicknesses (less than 1 Å). The BE scale was calibrated by measuring Fermi edge spectra of Ni. The peak intensities were normalized by the highest intensity value in each spectrum. The intensities of the spectra between different oxidation temperatures were not compared because the photoelectron counts can be affected by gas-phase molecules.17

3. RESULTS

3.1. Oxidation by Molecular Oxygen.

The freshly cleaned NiAl(100) surface was first oxidized by oxygen gas at p(O2) = 0.2 Torr and at temperatures ranging from T = 40 to 500 °C. Figure 1a,b shows the evolution of the XPS spectra of the O 1s and Al 2p/Ni 3p regions during the oxidation under the conditions given in Table 1. The oxide film reached its limiting thickness after the O2 exposure at each T. As seen in Figure 1a, the O 1s region shows a very low intensity peak for the freshly cleaned NiAl(100) surface, which can be attributed to the residual oxygen that cannot be completely removed by sputtering and annealing because of the relatively high base pressure (∼7 × 10−9 Torr) of the chamber. This can also be proved by the small intensity of the Al3+ 2p peak in the corresponding Al 2p spectrum obtained from the freshly cleaned NiAl(100) surface (Figure 1b). After exposure to p(O2) = 0.2 Torr with the stepwise temperature increase from 40 to 500 °C and the holding time of 30 min for each T, the O 1s spectra show a clear trend of increase in the BE.

Figure 1b shows the corresponding XPS spectra in the Al 2p/Ni 3p regions. It can be seen that the BEs of the metallic Ni3 3p and Al3 2p peaks do not show any noticeable shift with the temperature increase. However, the BE of the Al3+ 2p peak shows a clear shift to a higher value. This is also qualitatively consistent with the studies on the thermal oxidation of aluminum23 and our earlier results on NiAl(100) under the UHV conditions of O2 exposure.15 It is worthwhile to note that the intensities of the metallic Ni3 3p and Al3 2p peaks diminish and disappear completely at 500 °C, whereas the peak...
intensity of the Al\textsuperscript{3+} 2p peak is very strong, indicating that the oxide film has reached a certain thickness at which the metallic peaks are completely attenuated. The oxide film shows stepwise increase in its thickness with the stepwise increase in the temperature and reaches about 22 Å after the O\textsubscript{2} exposure at 300 °C, as shown in Table 2. The thickness after oxidation at 500 °C is even larger but is not estimated here because the metallic Al\textsuperscript{0} 2p peak is completely attenuated.

As can be seen in Figure 1a, the O 1s peak from the O\textsubscript{2} exposure at 40 °C is well fit by two components at BE = 532.4 and 531.3 eV. The 532.4 eV component is in good agreement with the reported values of O in amorphous Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3},\textsuperscript{20,26,27} whereas the lower BE at 531.3 eV is attributed to O from the interfacial aluminum oxide, which can be designated Al-deficient Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}.\textsuperscript{20,27,28} As seen in Figure 1a, the oxide consists of mainly AlO\textsubscript{x} and a small amount of Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} after the O\textsubscript{2} exposure at 40 °C. However, the peak corresponding to AlO\textsubscript{x} at BE = 531.3 eV disappears after the oxidation at 200 °C, indicating that the AlO\textsubscript{x} component is completely converted to the more stoichiometric Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} component. After the O\textsubscript{2} exposure at T = 300 °C, another peak at a higher BE of 533.3 eV arises. This peak is assigned to the O in the crystalline Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} (γ-Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}), which is 0.9 eV higher than the BE of the amorphous Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and in good agreement with the reported difference (0.5–1.0 eV) between the amorphous Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and γ-Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{25,29} When the temperature is further increased to 500 °C, the amount of amorphous Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} component decreases, whereas the amount of γ-Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} increases, indicating that more

Figure 1. Photoemission spectra of the O 1s (a) and Al 2p–Ni 3p (b) regions of the NiAl(100) during the stepwise O\textsubscript{2} oxidation at p(O\textsubscript{2}) = 0.2 Torr and at T = 40, 200, 300, and 500 °C. Oxidation time is 30 min at each T.

Table 2. Comparison of the Binding Energies of O 1s for the O\textsubscript{2}- and H\textsubscript{2}O-Formed Oxide Films

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AlO\textsubscript{x}</th>
<th>amorphous Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}</th>
<th>γ-Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}</th>
<th>Al-OH</th>
<th>NiO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O 1s (eV)</td>
<td>O\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>H\textsubscript{2}O</td>
<td>O\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>H\textsubscript{2}O</td>
<td>O\textsubscript{2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 °C</td>
<td>531.3</td>
<td>531.3</td>
<td>532.4</td>
<td>532.4</td>
<td>533.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 °C</td>
<td>531.3</td>
<td>531.3</td>
<td>532.4</td>
<td>532.4</td>
<td>533.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 °C</td>
<td>531.3</td>
<td>532.4</td>
<td>532.4</td>
<td>532.4</td>
<td>533.3, 530.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 °C</td>
<td>531.3</td>
<td>532.4</td>
<td>532.4</td>
<td>532.4</td>
<td>533.3, 530.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750 °C vacuum annealing</td>
<td>531.3</td>
<td>532.4</td>
<td>532.4</td>
<td>532.4</td>
<td>533.3, 530.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Photoemission spectra of the (a) O 1s and (b) Al 2p–Ni 3p regions of the NiAl(100) during the stepwise O\textsubscript{2} oxidation at p(O\textsubscript{2}) = 0.2 Torr and subsequent annealing at 500 °C and then at 750 °C for 30 min each. The spectra for vacuum annealing were taken in vacuum at the corresponding annealing temperatures.
amorphous Al$_2$O$_3$ is crystallized to form $\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$. The BEs of the various O components are summarized in Table 2.

Identification of the different aluminum oxides from the O 1s spectra is also confirmed from the Al 2p spectra shown in Figure 1b. After the O$_2$ exposure at 40 °C, the Al$^{3+}$ 2p peak is deconvoluted into two components: one at BE = 74.4 eV, which can be attributed to AlO$_x$, and the other at BE = 75.6 eV, which can be attributed to the amorphous Al$_2$O$_3$. After the oxidation at 200 °C, the Al$^{3+}$ 2p peak is well fit by only one component at BE = 75.6 eV, which corresponds to the more stoichiometric amorphous Al$_2$O$_3$. When the temperature is increased to 300 °C and above, the Al$^{3+}$ 2p peak is well fit by two components, one at BE = 75.6 eV corresponding to the amorphous Al$_2$O$_3$ and the other at BE = 76.4 eV corresponding to $\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$.

Both the O 1s and Al$^{3+}$ 2p peaks shift to higher BEs with further increase in the oxidation temperature to 300 °C and then to 500 °C. Such shifts to higher BEs at higher oxidation temperatures are further confirmed by the subsequent vacuum annealing of the as-oxidized sample. Figure 2a,b shows the spectra taken in vacuum at the corresponding annealing temperatures of the O 1s and Al 2p regions of the as-oxidized sample (right after the oxidation at 500 °C), which show that both the O 1s and Al$^{3+}$ 2p peaks shift only slightly after annealing at 500 °C for 30 min, as expected for aluminum oxide, because the oxide film is grown at 500 °C. However, the BEs have shifted by about 0.2–0.3 eV after further annealing at 750 °C for 30 min. This again confirms that the higher oxidation/annealing temperature results in the higher BEs of the O 1s and Al$^{3+}$ 2p peaks induced by the ordering of the amorphous oxide film. The shifts in the BEs of the O 1s and Al$^{3+}$ 2p peaks are summarized in Figure 3. Similarly, as shown in Figure 2, both the O 1s and Al$^{3+}$ 2p peaks can be deconvoluted into two peaks, one corresponding to amorphous Al$_2$O$_3$ having binding energies of 532.4 eV (O 1s) and 75.6 eV (Al$^{3+}$ 2p) and the other corresponding to $\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ with BEs of 533.3 eV (O 1s) and 76.4 eV (Al$^{3+}$ 2p). The evolution of the relative intensities of the two peaks (Figure 2) shows that the amorphous Al$_2$O$_3$ is being gradually crystallized while annealing at the elevated temperatures. The shift in the BEs of the O 1s and Al$^{3+}$ 2p peaks can be attributed to the structure ordering (crystallization) in the aluminum oxide film as the temperature increases. The structural evolutions of the NiAl(100) surface and the aluminum oxide film were characterized using LEED and STM in our previous study, which showed that the aluminum oxide film formed at the relatively low temperatures (<300 °C) is amorphous and transforms to a crystalline state upon annealing above 450 °C. It should be noted that the oxide film formed under oxygen pressures (0.2 Torr) is much thicker than the oxide films formed under the UHV oxidation conditions, which usually have a thickness of <5 Å. The larger thickness of the oxide film may facilitate the formation of $\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ as shown here, rather than the $\Theta$-Al$_2$O$_3$ phase by UHV annealing of ultrathin aluminum oxide films. In general, the magnitude of the BE shift is...
known to scale with the number of heterogeneous chemical bonds, and a larger BE shift can be attributed to a larger coordination number.\textsuperscript{11} The BEs of the O 1s and Al\textsuperscript{3+} 2p peaks of the amorphous aluminum oxide are reported to be about 0.5–1.0 eV lower than those of γ-Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} on an Al substrate.\textsuperscript{25,29,30} With the increase in the temperature, more crystalline oxide is developed by ordering the amorphous aluminum oxide, which results in the shifting of both O 1s and Al\textsuperscript{3+} 2p peaks to the higher binding energies (Figure 3).

3.2. Oxidation by Water Vapor. The NiAl(100) surface cleaned similarly by sputtering and annealing in the AP-XPS chamber was oxidized by H\textsubscript{2}O under the same temperature and pressure conditions as O\textsubscript{2} oxidation. Figure 4a,b shows the evolution of the XPS spectra of the O 1s and Al 2p/Ni 3p regions acquired in situ during the oxidation. Compared with the freshly cleaned surface (Figure 1), it can be noted from Figure 4a,b that the as-cleaned NiAl(100) surface shows slightly about 15.7 Å after the H\textsubscript{2}O exposure at 200 °C because the metallic Al\textsuperscript{0} 2p and Ni\textsuperscript{0} 3p peaks are completely attenuated at 300 °C, as shown in Figure 1b. By contrast, the Ni 3p peak still shows a fair amount of intensity after the H\textsubscript{2}O exposure at 300 °C, and its relative intensity becomes even stronger from the subsequent H\textsubscript{2}O exposure at 500 °C.

As shown in Figure 4a, the O 1s peak can be deconvoluted into three components with BE = 532.4, 531.3, and 533.8 eV for the H\textsubscript{2}O oxidation at 40 and 200 °C. As discussed in the O\textsubscript{2} oxidation section, the BEs of 532.4 and 531.3 eV are related to the amorphous Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and interfacial aluminum oxide AlO\textsubscript{x}, respectively. The other peak with a higher BE of 533.8 eV is in good agreement with the reported values of OH species and is related to the O in the Al–OH bonds of aluminum hydroxide.\textsuperscript{26,32,33} Also, the peak related to the gas phase of H\textsubscript{2}O is visible at a higher BE of 536 eV. However, the OH peak disappears at 300 °C and above, suggesting that aluminum hydroxide is not stable at the temperature above 300 °C and decomposes into aluminum oxide, consistent with the previous studies.\textsuperscript{12,34} Meanwhile, using the BEs of the amorphous Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and AlO\textsubscript{x} peaks does not provide an overall good fitting to the O 1s spectra obtained at 300 and 500 °C, and a third peak at a lower BE of 530.3 eV arises. It is unlikely to form the NiAl\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} spinel because the oxidation temperature employed here is far below the formation temperature of the NiAl\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} spinel (~1100 °C in the form of a bulk spinel structure\textsuperscript{35} and ~900 °C in the form of a thin film\textsuperscript{36}). The absence of the NiAl\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} phase can also be confirmed from the Al\textsuperscript{3+} 2p peak, which has a higher BE in NiAl\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} by ~1 eV than that in the Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} peak. As shown in Figure 4b, no such BE shift is observed from the Al\textsuperscript{3+} 2p peak. Therefore, the peak at BE = 530.3 eV is attributed to NiO. This conclusion is also based on the closeness of the O 1s BE of the component to the literature data for NiO.\textsuperscript{27,37,38} The Ni 2p spectra were not collected to further confirm this conclusion because of the limitation of the beamline: the highest photon energy we can reach was around 700 eV, whereas the BE range for Ni 2p is from 840 to 880 eV. However, the O 1s peak at a lower BE and the existence of the Ni 3p peak at 300 °C and above provide clear evidence for NiO formation. As shown in Figure 4a, the relative intensity for the NiO component increases when the oxidation temperature increases from 300 to 500 °C, suggesting an enhanced NiO formation at higher temperatures. This trend is also proved by the relatively stronger intensity of the Ni 3p peak at 500 °C than that at 300 °C, as shown in Figure 4b.

Table 3. Thicknesses of the Aluminum Oxide Films for the O\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}O Oxidations of NiAl(100) and Subsequent Vacuum Annealing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>temperature (°C)</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>200</th>
<th>300</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>750</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O\textsubscript{2} (Å)</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H\textsubscript{2}O (Å)</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

estimated after the oxidation at 300 °C because the metallic Al\textsuperscript{3+} 2p peak is completely attenuated. However, the nonattenuation of the Ni 3p peak indicates that the diffusion of Ni from the substrate into the oxide film has occurred at a higher T. This is completely different from the O\textsubscript{2} exposure, for which both the metallic Al\textsuperscript{3+} 2p and Ni\textsuperscript{0} 3p peaks are completely attenuated at 300 °C, as shown in Figure 1b. By contrast, the Ni 3p peak still shows a fair amount of intensity after the H\textsubscript{2}O exposure at 300 °C, and its relative intensity becomes even stronger from the subsequent H\textsubscript{2}O exposure at 500 °C.

As shown in Figure 4a, the O 1s peak can be deconvoluted into three components with BE = 532.4, 531.3, and 533.8 eV for the H\textsubscript{2}O oxidation at 40 and 200 °C. As discussed in the O\textsubscript{2} oxidation section, the BEs of 532.4 and 531.3 eV are related to the amorphous Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and interfacial aluminum oxide AlO\textsubscript{x}, respectively. The other peak with a higher BE of 533.8 eV is in good agreement with the reported values of OH species and is related to the O in the Al–OH bonds of aluminum hydroxide.\textsuperscript{26,32,33} Also, the peak related to the gas phase of H\textsubscript{2}O is visible at a higher BE of 536 eV. However, the OH peak disappears at 300 °C and above, suggesting that aluminum hydroxide is not stable at the temperature above 300 °C and decomposes into aluminum oxide, consistent with the previous studies.\textsuperscript{12,34} Meanwhile, using the BEs of the amorphous Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and AlO\textsubscript{x} peaks does not provide an overall good fitting to the O 1s spectra obtained at 300 and 500 °C, and a third peak at a lower BE of 530.3 eV arises. It is unlikely to form the NiAl\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} spinel because the oxidation temperature employed here is far below the formation temperature of the NiAl\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} spinel (~1100 °C in the form of a bulk spinel structure\textsuperscript{35} and ~900 °C in the form of a thin film\textsuperscript{36}). The absence of the NiAl\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} phase can also be confirmed from the Al\textsuperscript{3+} 2p peak, which has a higher BE in NiAl\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} by ~1 eV than that in the Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} peak. As shown in Figure 4b, no such BE shift is observed from the Al\textsuperscript{3+} 2p peak. Therefore, the peak at BE = 530.3 eV is attributed to NiO. This conclusion is also based on the closeness of the O 1s BE of the component to the literature data for NiO.\textsuperscript{27,37,38} The Ni 2p spectra were not collected to further confirm this conclusion because of the limitation of the beamline: the highest photon energy we can reach was around 700 eV, whereas the BE range for Ni 2p is from 840 to 880 eV. However, the O 1s peak at a lower BE and the existence of the Ni 3p peak at 300 °C and above provide clear evidence for NiO formation. As shown in Figure 4a, the relative intensity for the NiO component increases when the oxidation temperature increases from 300 to 500 °C, suggesting an enhanced NiO formation at higher temperatures. This trend is also proved by the relatively stronger intensity of the Ni 3p peak at 500 °C than that at 300 °C, as shown in Figure 4b.
The effect of annealing temperature on the BEs of the different oxides is also confirmed by the subsequent vacuum annealing of the H$_2$O-formed oxide film. Both the O 1s and Al$^{3+}$ 2p peaks shift to high BEs (Figure 5). The O 1s spectra obtained from the as-oxidized sample (after the final H$_2$O exposure at 500 °C) can be deconvoluted into three components at BE = 532.4, 531.3, and 530.3 eV, which correspond to Al$_2$O$_3$ (amorphous), AlO, and NiO, respectively. The O 1s spectra after the vacuum annealing at 500 °C are quite similar to those of the as-oxidized sample, except for the stronger peak intensity for the amorphous Al$_2$O$_3$ component. Figure 5a shows that a new small peak arises at a higher BE of 533.3 eV after vacuum annealing for 20 min at 750 °C. This peak corresponds to the formation of the crystallized Al$_2$O$_3$ (γ-Al$_2$O$_3$). It can also be seen from Figure 5a that the relative intensities of NiO and AlO$_2$ peaks decrease after the vacuum annealing at 500 °C and further decreases after the vacuum annealing at 750 °C. This indicates that the defective aluminum oxide (AlO$_2$) is gradually converted into the more stoichiometric Al$_2$O$_3$ upon annealing. The heat formation for Al$_2$O$_3$ (−1690.7 kJ/mol) is by a factor of seven larger than NiO (−240.8 kJ/mol). The decrease in the relative intensity of the NiO peak at 500 and 750 °C shown in Figure 5a suggests that the NiO component is gradually reduced to Ni via the reaction NiO + Al → Al$_2$O$_3$ + Ni upon vacuum annealing, whereas the decrease in the relative intensity of the Ni 3p peak at 500 and 750 °C shown in Figure 5b is related to the dissolution of the reduced metallic Ni into the NiAl substrate. Becker et al. also reported a similar observation that the NiO formed on NiAl disappeared after annealing above 800 K. The vacuum annealing-induced evolution of these oxide components derived from the O 1s spectra (Figure 5a) can be further confirmed from the Al$^{3+}$ 2p and Ni 3p peaks. As shown in Figure 5b, the Al$^{3+}$ peak associated with γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ shows up after annealing at 750 °C, whereas the relative intensity of the two peaks associated with the defective aluminum oxide (AlO$_2$) and NiO drops upon the vacuum annealing.

The composition of the oxide film can be calculated from the ratio of the relative intensity of the different oxide peaks with respect to the overall O 1s spectra. Figure 6 shows the evolution of the composition of the oxide films formed from the O$_2$ and H$_2$O exposures and the subsequent vacuum annealing (for convenience of description, the composition of the stoichiometric Al$_2$O$_3$ is not further broken down into crystallized and noncrystallized ones). As shown in Figure 6a, the O$_2$-formed oxide film initially consists of both defective aluminum oxide (AlO$_2$) and stoichiometric aluminum oxide (Al$_2$O$_3$). The AlO$_2$ disappears completely at temperatures above 200 °C. By contrast, the H$_2$O-formed oxide film is dominated by the defective aluminum oxide (AlO$_2$) (Figure 6b), and the composition of the oxide film evolves from (Al$_2$O$_3$)$_{0.33}$(AlO$_2$)$_{0.67}$(Al(OH)$_3$)$_{0.06}$ at 40 °C to (Al$_2$O$_3$)$_{0.25}$(AlO$_2$)$_{0.62}$(Al(OH)$_3$)$_{0.13}$ at 200 °C. Noticeably, increasing the temperature to 300 °C results in the growth of NiO and the composition of the oxide film changes to (Al$_2$O$_3$)$_{0.27}$(AlO$_2$)$_{0.64}$(NiO)$_{0.09}$. NiO growth is further promoted after increasing the oxidation temperature to 500 °C, and the composition of the oxide film changes to (Al$_2$O$_3$)$_{0.14}$(AlO$_2$)$_{0.45}$(NiO)$_{0.41}$.

The H$_2$O-formed oxide film promotes the formation of Al$_2$O$_3$ by consuming the defective AlO$_2$ and NiO, and the composition of the oxide film changes to (Al$_2$O$_3$)$_{0.23}$(AlO$_2$)$_{0.42}$(NiO)$_{0.35}$ after the vacuum annealing at 500 °C and then to (Al$_2$O$_3$)$_{0.21}$(AlO$_2$)$_{0.35}$(NiO)$_{0.44}$ after further annealing at 750 °C. The composition evolution of the oxide overlayer can be monitored in situ at an elevated temperature and pressure using AP-XPS as shown above. Such important information cannot be readily obtained with the use of a UHV-based XPS system (typically at pressures <10$^{-5}$ Torr) or exposure at high pressure in a separate chamber followed by transfer to UHV for analysis because the sample transfers can interrupt the reaction and induce oxide decomposition (e.g., NiO) or change the composition (e.g., AlO$_2$) of the oxide overlayer.

### 4. DISCUSSION

The detailed comparison of the oxidation of the NiAl(100) surface by O$_2$ and H$_2$O made above illustrates that the O$_2$-formed oxide films have a more stoichiometric composition than the H$_2$O-formed oxide films under the same conditions of pressure and temperature. The different oxide film compositions have a direct consequence on the passivation properties of the oxide films. As shown in Figure 6, no NiO formation occurs for the O$_2$ exposure at any of the temperatures. By contrast, H$_2$O exposure results in NiO growth at 300 °C, and further increasing the oxidation temperature leads to a significant NiO growth, for which the fraction of NiO in the oxide film increases from 9% at 300 °C to 43% at 500 °C.

The experimental results presented above reveal that the NiAl alloy exhibits selective oxidation during the O$_2$ oxidation, in which only Al participates in the oxide film formation (Figures 1 and 6). By contrast, the H$_2$O oxidation at T > 300°
°C results in the simultaneous NiO growth (Figures 4 and 6). From a thermodynamic point of view, the formation of aluminum oxide is energetically strongly favored over the formation of NiO. The selective oxidation of Al in the NiAl alloy results in the enrichment of Ni in the oxide/substrate interface region, which has been observed for the oxidation of the NiAl(100) under UHV conditions. In view of the much higher gas pressure in the present work, significant Ni enrichment can occur in the subsurface region because of the growth of the much thicker aluminum oxide film compared with the oxidation under the UHV conditions. As shown in Figures 1 and 4, the O2-formed aluminum oxide film is dominated by stoichiometric Al2O3, which is more compact compared with the H2O-formed oxide film that is dominated by the defective Al2O3. Therefore, the O2-formed aluminum oxide film is a more effective barrier than the H2O-formed oxide film in blocking the outward diffusion of Ni atoms from the subsurface region.

The equilibrium oxygen pressure for the selective oxidation of one component of an alloy depends on the alloy composition. The significant enrichment of Ni underneath the aluminum oxide layer lowers the equilibrium oxygen pressure for the Ni oxidation. Therefore, Ni can be driven to the outer surface through the existing aluminum oxide layer to form NiO, if allowed kinetically. As shown above, the O2-formed oxide film is dominated by the stoichiometric Al2O3 for which the dense and compact atomic structure of the oxide film can provide better protection to the metallic substrate by barring the outward diffusion of the Ni atoms. Therefore, NiO formation is kinetically prohibited even at the elevated temperature of 500 °C, as shown in Figure 1. By contrast, the composition of the H2O-formed oxide film is dominated by the defective Al2O3 for which the outward diffusion of Ni can be significantly promoted from the Ni-rich region underneath the aluminum oxide overlayer. As shown in Figure 6, a critical temperature of 300 °C is required to activate the outward diffusion of Ni through the defective Al2O3 layer. The outward diffusion of Ni is further promoted after increasing the oxidation temperature to 500 °C, which results in significantly more NiO growth (Figure 6).

5. CONCLUSIONS
A comparative study of the oxidation of NiAl(100) by molecular oxygen and water vapor at a near-ambient pressure (0.2 Torr) is performed using AP-XPS. O2 exposure leads to the selective oxidation of Al at temperatures ranging from 40 to 500 °C. By contrast, H2O exposure results in the selective oxidation of Al at 40 and 200 °C, increasing the oxidation temperature above 300 °C leads to simultaneous NiO formation. Based on the detailed analyses of the BE shifts and the evolution of the relative intensities of the O 1s, Al 2p, and Ni 3p peaks, we show that the oxide film formed from the O2 oxidation has a stoichiometric Al2O3 structure that provides improved protection to the metallic substrate by barring the outward diffusion of Ni. By contrast, the aluminum oxide film formed by the H2O oxidation has a defective (and thus less protective) structure that allows outward diffusion of Ni for simultaneous NiO formation. These results demonstrate that the passivation properties of an oxide film depend on the type of the oxidizing species used for the surface passivation.
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